UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,429	01/18/2006	Tomoko Aoki	2003JP317	7607
26289 7590 12/08/2009 AZ ELECTRONIC MATERIALS USA CORP. ATTENTION: INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY DEPT. 70 MEISTER AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			MOORE, MARGARET G	
SOMERVILLE	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/565,429	AOKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Margaret G. Moore	1796			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	entember 2009				
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	, 					
J)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1 to 3, 5 to 7 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 to 7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 3, 10 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
,—	ion Papers	·				
		-				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) sr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/565,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/55789, as interpreted by the English language equivalent Nakashima et al.

This rejection relies on the rationale of record. As such the rationale for this rejection will not be repeated. Applicants' traversal has been considered but is not deemed persuasive.

Applicants first argue that their claims are drawn to an admixture rather than a reaction product. This is not consistent with that which is actually claimed. The composition as claimed merely requires the presence of the three components. This does not exclude subsequent reaction between the components. This also does not exclude the presence of any type of catalyst or water (used to form the hydrolyzate). There is nothing in the claim that requires the components be in an admixture.

Applicants also argue that the Nakashima et al. specifically teach a phenyl acetoxysilane and that such a silane is excluded from amended claim 1. To this effect, the Examiner refers applicants to the rejection rationale for previous claim 9. That is, Nakashima et al. teach that the alkoxysilanes of formula (I) can be used in the preparation of the polysiloxazane (column 7, lines 52 to 54). Such alkoxysilanes include tetramethoxysilanes, methyltriethoxysilane, ethyltriethoxysilane and others (column 4, lines 30 and on). Since Nakashima et al. obviously uses alkoxy groups and acetoxy groups in the alternative as equivalents (see column 6, lines 10 to 17), one having ordinary skill in the art would have found the acetoxy equivalents on the specific silanes listed for formula (I) to have been obvious. In this manner the specific silanes claimed are rendered obvious by the teachings of Nakashima et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

3. Claims 3 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest the limitations of claims 3 and 10. There is nothing that suggests the addition of the specific pore forming agent as found in claim 3. The decomposable resin on column 11, lines 15 and on, is present in a different composition than that noted supra and, even so, fail to teach a siloxy containing polyethylene oxide. For claim 10, the Examiner draws attention to column 9 which teaches ratios that are far different from that claimed.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday to Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,429 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Margaret G. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

mgm 12/3/09